UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,606	12/15/2005	Pierre Cosson	25421-502 NATL	9304
30623 MINTZ, LEVIN	INER			
AND POPEO, I	P.C.	GRASER, JENNIFER E		
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS 04/20/2007		04/20/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20070413			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Theories Summer	(PTO 412)			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
Application Papers					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or €	election requirement.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
Disposition of Claims					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
Status					
<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
	Jennifer E. Graser	1645			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	10/536,606	COSSON ET AL.			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			

Art Unit: 1645

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9, 29, 30-34, drawn to an attenuated bacterium.

Group II, claim(s) 10-15, drawn to a method of identifying an antibacterial drug using a polypeptide.

Group III, claim(s) 16-20, drawn to a method of identifying an antibacterial drug using a polynucleotide.

Group IV, claim(s) 21-22, drawn to a nucleic acid.

Group V, claim(s) 23-28, drawn to a method for determining degree of virulence in a pathogen.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups II, III and V lack the same special technical feature because they are drawn to different methods with distinct end results, which differ in the method objectives, method steps, reagents and material used. Groups I and IV contain different special technical features because they are drawn to materially and structurally distinct products, e.g., an attenuated bacterium and an isolated nucleic acid. The method of Group II uses an isolated polypeptide, not the attenuated bacterium of Group I and therefore the groups lack the same special technical feature. The invention of Group I lacks novelty and therefore does not relate to a single general inventive concept with the other Groups. Cosson et al. J.Bacteriol. 184(11): 3027-3033. June 2002. teaches an attenuated bacterium meeting the requirements of Group I.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

# Group I:

In claims 1 and 33 Applicant must elect a single gene, e.g., VIR1, and a single bacterial species in claims 4 or 7, and a single mutant species of claims 6 or 9.

# Group II:

Applicant must elect a single gene species, e.g, VIR1.

# Group III:

Applicant must elect a single gene species, e.g. VIR1.

## Group IV:

Applicant must elect a single gene species, e.g. VIR1.

## Group V:

Applicant must elect a single gene species to be used in the method, e.g. VIR1.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include Application/Control Number: 10/536,606

Art Unit: 1645

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 10, 16, 23 and 26.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- Correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1645. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Remsen. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15,1989). The Group 1645 Fax number is 571-273-8300 which is able to receive transmissions 24 hours/day, 7 days/week.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer E. Graser whose telephone number is (571) 272-0858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew, can be reached on (571) 272-0787.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0500.

Page 5

Jennifer Graser Primary Examiner Art Unit 1645